## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

M.J.J.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 15-CV-433

POLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, DARRYL L. CHRISTENSEN,

Defendant.

#### JOINT PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE REPORT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and this Court's Standing Order Governing Preliminary Pretrial Conferences, Plaintiff M.J.J. and Defendants, Polk County Sheriff's Department ("Polk County") and Darryl L. Christensen ("Christensen") met and conferred on October 14, 2015 regarding all matters listed in Rule 26(f). The Parties now submit the following Joint Preliminary Pretrial Conference Report:

#### 1. Short Statement of the Nature of the Case

Between November 3, 2011 and January 22, 2014, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Polk County Jail nine (9) times. Plaintiff alleges that during those periods of incarceration, Defendant Christensen would kiss Plaintiff and insert his fingers into her vagina; that he did this at least 24 times but less than 100 times; and that during that same time, Plaintiff performed fellatio on Defendant Christensen between 24 and 50 times.

On November 3, 2013, Plaintiff was arrested for operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated and booked into the Polk County Jail. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Christensen

entered the cell where Plaintiff was being held, placed his penis into her vagina and had sexual intercourse.

Plaintiff was incarcerated most recently between November 3, 2013 and January 22, 2014. Plaintiff alleges Defendant Christensen had sexual intercourse with Plaintiff on two separate occasions. On the first occasion, Defendant Christensen called Plaintiff out of her pod and made contact with her near the jail's control center, known as "the bubble." Defendant Christensen kissed her and put his fingers into her vagina. Defendant Christensen then had Plaintiff perform fellatio on him before having sexual intercourse with her. The second time Defendant Christensen had intercourse with Plaintiff it occurred in a bathroom. Plaintiff was in what is known as the "X" room, walking laps for exercise. Defendant Christensen directed Plaintiff to "put on a show" for him and eventually took her into the bathroom off of the "X" room, inserted his penis into her vagina and had sexual intercourse.

Plaintiff further alleges that during Plaintiff's incarceration between November 3, 2013 and January 22, 2014, Defendant Christensen would direct Plaintiff to put her hand on his crotch when she went to pick up medications at the medications cart; between approximately 12 and 24 times. Plaintiff also alleges that during this time, Defendant Christensen would masturbate from the "bubble" where Plaintiff could watch him.

See criminal Complaint, *State of Wisconsin v. Darryl L. Christensen*, 15 CF 159 attached to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Between November 3, 2011 and January 22, 2014 Defendant Christensen was an employee of Defendant Polk County Sheriff's Department ("Defendant Polk County"). Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Christensen undertook his conduct against Plaintiff as an employee of Defendant Polk County, and that Defendant Polk County failed to use reasonable care in

supervising Defendant Christensen's activities in his capacity as a Sheriff's Deputy for Defendant Polk County. Plaintiff thus alleges that Defendant Polk County is vicariously liable for the actions of its employee, Defendant Christensen.

As a result of Defendant Christensen's actions while an employee of Defendant Polk County, Plaintiff claims she has suffered physical injury and mental anguish in an amount to be determined at trial.

Defendant Christensen denies Plaintiff's allegations and denies he caused Plaintiff's alleged injuries. He has responded to some of the plaintiff's allegations, in part, by invoking his Fifth Amendment rights.

Defendant Polk County denies it is liable for the alleged criminal conduct of Defendant Christensen. The County asserts it was neither aware, nor should it have been aware, of Christensen's alleged conduct, and the County denies vicarious liability for those alleged actions.

### 2. Names of Any Related Cases

Christensen is a defendant in a Criminal Complaint arising out of many of the same facts at issue in this action. The criminal case is pending in Polk County Circuit Court, and is entitled <a href="State of Wisconsin v. Christensen">State of Wisconsin v. Christensen</a>, Polk County Case Number 15 CF 159.

Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants also represent the parties in a companion case <u>J.K.J.</u>

<u>v. Polk County Sheriff's Department and Darryl L. Christensen, Civil Action No. 15-CV-428</u>.

## 3. Statement of the Material Factual and Legal Issues to be Resolved at Trial

The parties anticipate that the following material, factual and legal issues will need to be resolved in the course of this case or at trial:

(a) Whether Christensen violated the plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights and is liable to the plaintiff for damages under 42 U.S.C. § 1983;

- (b) Whether Christensen acted under color of law such that Polk County may be held liable for his conduct;
- (c) Whether Christensen violated Wis. Stat. § 940.225 and is liable to the plaintiff for damages under Wisconsin law;
- (d) Whether Polk County is vicariously liable for the actions of Christensen.
- (e) If it is determined that either of the defendants is liable to the plaintiff, the amount of damages to which the plaintiff is entitled.

## 4. Description of Any Amendments to the Pleadings that Any Party Intends to Make

The parties do not anticipate any amendments to the pleadings. The parties propose that amendments to the pleadings, if any, may be made without leave of the Court until January 1, 2016.

### 5. Identity of Any New Parties to be Added

The parties do not anticipate adding any new parties. The parties propose that new parties, if any, may be added without leave of the Court until November 13, 2015.

#### 6. Estimated Trial Length

The parties anticipate that trial can be completed in 5 days.

## 7. Any Other Matters Affecting the Just, Speedy, and Inexpensive Disposition of this Case

The parties are not aware of any other matters affecting the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition of this case.

#### **DISCOVERY PLAN**

#### 1. Proposed Case Schedule

Event	Parties Proposed Date
Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures	12/1/2015

Amendments to Pleadings Without Leave	1/1/2016
Disclosure of Expert Reports: Proponent	4/1/2016
Disclosure of Expert Reports: Respondents	6/1/2016
Dispositive Motions	7/1/2016
Discovery Cutoff	9/1/2016
Settlement Letters	TBD by the Court
Motions in Limine and Rule 26(a)(3) Disclosures	TBD by the Court
Responses to Motions in Limine and Objections to Rule 26(a)(3) Disclosures	TBD by the Court
Final Pretrial Conference	TBD by the Court
First Day of Trial	TBD by the Court

#### 2. Miscellaneous Discovery Items

#### (a) **Protective Order**

To the extent a protective order is required to govern the exchange of confidential information during discovery, the parties agree to negotiate and file a stipulated protective order.

#### (b) Limitations

The parties agree that draft expert reports and attorney communications with experts will not be subject to discovery and shall not be admissible. However, all materials that an expert relies upon in connection with his or her final expert report shall be discoverable.

The parties do not presently request the Court to order any further limits on discovery.

## (c) Production of Electronically Stored Information

At least the following metadata fields shall be preserved and produced for e-mails: custodian, sender, recipient(s), subject, date sent, and parent/child identification for any attachments. The following metadata fields shall be preserved and produced for all other

electronically stored information ("ESI"): author, custodian, filename, date created, date last modified, begbates, endbates, and folder path. The parties agree that embedded documents and images need not be extracted and separately produced unless an independent basis exists for their production.

All ESI shall be produced as single page group IV Tiffs with a DAT file, Opticon load file, and OCR text files for each document, except that Excel® files, other large spreadsheets, media files, and documents incorporating tracked changes shall be produced in their native format, and the DAT file should include a native file link field.

To the extent any additional issues arise with respect to discovery of ESI, the parties shall cooperate and work together in good faith to reach an agreement.

## (d) Privilege and Work Product Issues

The parties agree that the inadvertent disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected material shall be governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B).

The parties agree that communications or documents that are privileged or protected as work product and that are generated after the commencement of this litigation need not be included in a privilege log under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5).

#### (e) Electronic Copies and Service

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(E), the parties consent to service by electronic means. The parties agree that such service shall be complete upon transmission, provided that the sender does not receive any indication that such transmission was unsuccessful. Service of filings may be made through the Court's ECF system. For all other documents, service shall be made to those e-mail addresses for counsel set forth on the Court's electronic docket. The parties agree that notwithstanding Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(d), the receiving party is deemed to be served on the day the electronic transmission is made.

The parties agree that duplicate copies of all written discovery requests and all proposed findings of fact shall be served electronically in editable form, *e.g.*, in Microsoft Word.

# ECKBERG, LAMMERS, BRIGGS, WOLFF & VIERLING, PLLP

Dated: 10/22/15 By: s/ Thomas J. Weidner

Jeffrey L. Kemp (1075842) Thomas J. Weidner (1082013) Attorneys for Plaintiff

430 Second Street

Hudson, Wisconsin 54016

(715) 386-3733

jkemp@eckberglammers.com tweidner@eckberglammers.com

#### WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK, S.C.

Dated: 10/22/15 By: s/ Paul D. Cranley

Paul D. Cranley (1050029)

Attorney for Defendant Polk County Sheriff's

Department P.O. Box 1379

Madison, Wisconsin 53701

(608) 255-4440

pcranley@whdlaw.com

#### SAGER & COLWIN LAW OFFICES, S.C.

Dated: 10/22/15 By: s/ Martin J. De Vries

Martin J. De Vries (1032279)

Attorney for Defendant Darryl L. Christensen

201 South Marr Street

P.O. Box 2068

Fond du Lac, Wisconsin 54936

(290) 921-1320

mdevries@sagerlaw.com